This article is the first in a four-part series about forced marriage in the United States. Part I defines forced marriage and distinguishes it from arranged marriage, informs the reader about who could be a forced-marriage victim or perpetrator, and explores the catalysts and consequences of harmful marriage practices. Part II evaluates existing US legal remedies and resources for combatting forced marriage. Part III explores the fierce, ongoing debate over whether forced marriage laws should be civil or criminal in nature. Part IV sets forth recommendations for a comprehensive national response to protect and empower US-based victims and survivors seeking to avoid or flee forced marriages.

Everywhere, people are rallying around the belief that women’s rights are human rights. They are coming to grips with what it means that societies cannot flourish if half their people are left behind. —Hillary Rodham Clinton

Most Americans are stunned to discover that a 2011 national study conducted by the Tahirih Justice Center revealed as many as 3,000 cases of forced marriage across 47 states in the preceding two years alone. And Tahirih Executive Director Layli Miller-Muro warns, “We’ve already learned enough in the survey to tell us we’re just hitting the tip of the iceberg.”

FORCED MARRIAGE AND ARRANGED MARRIAGE

Forced marriage is often conflated with arranged marriage, making it difficult to recognize

as a form of gender-based violence. The term “forced marriage” describes circumstances in which one or both spouses enter into or remain in a marriage against their will, under physical force or psychological duress, or without free and valid consent. Parents or other family members, community elders, religious leaders, matchmakers, international marriage brokers, or even human traffickers can broker forced marriages. Forced marriage is distinct from “arranged marriage,” wherein both parties freely consent to receive assistance from a third party to identify a prospective spouse, but the ultimate decision of whether, when, and to whom to marry rests entirely with the bride and groom.

The US Department of State draws a bright line between forced and arranged marriages: “Arranged marriages have been a long-standing tradition in many cultures and countries. The Department respects this tradition, and makes a very clear distinction between a forced marriage and an arranged marriage.” In reality, the line between forced and arranged marriage is a blurry one, and the two can be difficult to distinguish. A marriage can even begin as arranged and end up forced.

There have been at least 3,000 cases of forced marriage in 47 states the last two years.

Although bridenappings do still occur, most forced marriages are the product of far subtler, though no less insidious, coercive measures. John Fotheringham, consultant at Fyfe Ireland Solicitors, experts in matrimonial law, said: “The literal shotgun marriage—marry this man or we’ll kill you—is extremely rare. What is more likely is marry this man or we’ll withdraw your university funding, or your mother will kill herself, or you’ll bring shame on the family. That is still a forced marriage.” In an attempt to illustrate the subtleties that can hinder people’s ability to differentiate between the two, the United Kingdom’s Oxfordshire National Health Service (NHS) situates arranged and forced marriages along a consent continuum. According to NHS:

A marriage becomes forced if there is any duress, whether physical or mental, to marry without free and valid consent. It is the perception of the individual under pressure to marry which matters when defining duress. As one woman said: “A person knows when they are being forced into marriage against their will—that has to be the starting point.”

It is the perception of each individual spouse—not the perceptions of the parents, advocates, or authorities—that determines whether a marriage is forced or consensual.

**SOME RECENT EXAMPLES**

Although numerous forced marriages have made the news, most Americans still perceive forced marriage as a practice that impacts only others in developing countries. Recent news reports documenting “honor”-based violence in immigrant families in the Americas illustrate that, when families migrate, their customs and belief systems migrate with them. In Phoenix, Ariz., an Iraqi immigrant woman was arrested on allegations that she padlocked her 19-year-old daughter to a bed and burned the girl’s face and chest after the girl refused the marriage that her parents had arranged for her to a 38-year-old man. Police said the girl’s younger sister was also arrested for holding her down during the assault.

A 14-year-old Greenfield, Calif. girl was sold by her Mexican-national father into marriage with an 18-year-old man in exchange for 100 cases of beer and a few cases of meat. When her new spouse failed to deliver the promised bride price, the girl’s father asked the police to retrieve his daughter and bring her home. By the time the authorities intervened, the girl’s husband had already earned statutory rape charges by consummating the marriage.

A Peoria, Ariz., father of Iraqi origin was recently convicted in connection with his daughter’s death after he ran her over with his car in what was characterized as an “honor” killing. The man had warned his daughter a few weeks earlier that “something bad would happen” when she fled the marriage arranged by her parents and became involved with a man of her own choosing.

The families of the forced-marriage victims identified in the Tahirih study represent 56 different countries of origin and a diverse range of faiths. Nor are harmful marriage practices in the Americas limited to foreign nationals or immigrant communities. As soon as she turned 18,
Lee’s mother squeezed her into a revealing gown and paraded her before the single men of Montreal’s Jehovah’s Witness congregation. Five weeks later, Lee found herself married to a man of her mother’s choosing. “Once the announcement was made in church that we were getting married,” Lee explains, “I couldn’t back out of it. I wanted to run, but I didn’t dare. The Witnesses believe that when you’re married, you are obligated to deliver sex whenever your husband wants it. It brought back [sexual abuse] I had gone through as a child and I became extremely depressed and suicidal.”

Warren Jeffs’ Fundamentalist Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints (FLDS) and the Tony Alamo Spiritual Ministry are two widely publicized examples. Elissa was one of numerous young girls forced into marriages in secret ceremonies conducted at a roadside motel in the Nevada desert by Texas polygamist Warren Jeffs, who identifies himself as an FLDS prophet. Elissa testified that Jeffs forced her into a marriage with her adult first cousin when she was just 14 years old. Prosecutors say the forced marriage resulted in Elissa’s rape. “I was trapped,” says Elissa, “I felt like I had nowhere to turn. I did not want to go through with this marriage. I felt, honestly, what it was like to die.”

A marriage becomes forced if there is duress.

Amy, Desiree, and Jeanne were forced into marriage by polygamous self-proclaimed prophet Tony Alamo and counted among his flock of abused underage wives. The girls were in their early teens when Alamo took them as “spiritual” wives and required them to have sexual intercourse with him. The youngest of Alamo’s wives was just eight years old when her pastor forced her to wed.

The so-called “shotgun wedding,” wherein parents force a pregnant daughter and the presumed father of the fetus to marry, is the most notorious contemporary harmful marriage practice. This custom persists in US families of nearly every imaginable race, faith, and heritage.

In order to craft effective, culturally competent solutions, US lawyers and other responders must gain a nuanced understanding of the catalysts and consequences of harmful marriage customs in communities where forced and early marriage are mainstream practices.

CATALYSTS FOR FORCED MARRIAGES

To understand and eliminate harmful marriage practices in the United States, it is essential to situate marriage customs within any given community’s broader cultural context. Parents around the world cite a wide variety of justifications for forcing their children into marriage, including:

- Controlling sexuality (particularly the sexuality of women and girls);
- Controlling unwanted behavior (e.g., alcohol or drug use, wearing make-up or behaving in what is perceived to be a “Westernized manner”);
- Preventing “unsuitable” relationships (e.g., outside the ethnic, cultural, religious, or caste group);
- Protecting “family honor”;
- Responding to peer group or family pressure;
- Attempting to strengthen family links;
- Achieving financial gain;
- Ensuring land, property, and wealth remain within the family;
- Protecting perceived cultural or religious ideals;
- Ensuring care for a person with special needs when her parents or existing caregivers are unable to fulfill that role;
- Assisting claims for lawful residence and citizenship; and,
- Fulfilling long-standing family commitments.

Extreme poverty and humanitarian crises are additional catalysts of forced and early marriage practices, particularly in immigrant families who bear a heavy burden of responsibility for the welfare of
extended family members in developing or conflict-ridden countries.

Women’s advocate Debjani Roy explains that, in certain cultural traditions in which “marriage is seen as a union of not only individuals, but of families,” parents remain integrally involved in selecting spouses for their children:

[I]t has been the parents’ primary duty, role and responsibility to arrange the marriage of their son and/or daughter. Historically...in many parts of the world, individuals were married at a younger age. Due to their lack of experience, they were not entrusted with the decision as to who they would marry...[M]arriage was and often still is seen as a union of not only individuals, but of families. One reason for this is because the joint family, where generations of family members live in the same household, used to be the standard living arrangement...[U]pon marrying...the bride would move to her husband’s home, as custom dictated...it [was] only logical for parents to assume the responsibility of selecting spouses for their sons because the bride was part of the whole family environment.

Although the worldwide average age at time of marriage is gradually increasing, even parents of adult sons and daughters are sometimes unwilling to entrust their children with the choice of whether, when, and whom to marry.

Questions of Perceived ‘Honor’

In countless cultural traditions, a family’s perceived honor is inextricably linked to the daughters’ virginity prior to marriage. Vidya Sri, a US forced-marriage survivor and advocate, told Inter Press Service, “My parents were very strict about family honour. It was [important] to my father that I remain untouched, unspoiled, pure and pristine because I would be of marriageable age very soon.”

Outraged upon discovering that their daughter was dating a boy from her school, Sri’s parents sent her to India, telling her she could not return without a husband. Under acute emotional coercion by her family, Sri married a man of her parents’ choosing.

In some cultures, girls who have been raped—often perceived as ashamed and shameful to their families—are given in marriage to their rapists. Patriarchy and superstition can also perpetuate the promotion of child marriage. For example, in Nepal some “believe that a parent’s soul will rest in peace in heaven if they marry off their daughters before her first menstrual cycle.” Many parents force their daughters into nonconsensual marriages in a desperate bid to preserve the family “honor” and the girl’s marriageability within their ethnic community.

In Malaysia, a marriage law and corresponding public campaign aggressively encouraged adolescents to marry, offering monetary stipends, homeownership incentives, and monthly allowances to teens who agree to “tie the knot.” Malaysian leaders justified the law by alleging that it will limit the social ills that result from extramarital sex and pregnancies, and reduce the number of abortions and children born out of wedlock.

Parents who disapprove of their child’s non-traditional sexual orientation sometimes view forced heterosexual marriage as a “solution” or “cure.” The United Kingdom’s Forced Marriage Unit reports fielding requests for assistance from numerous young gay persons confronting forced marriages. When Reviva’s parents discovered that their teenage daughter was gay, they began to arrange weekly meetings with prospective grooms. Reviva says her parents resorted to exorcism and violence when she resisted being forced into a heterosexual marriage:

The worst thing they tried was burning my hand on the stove. Anything they could
grab, they’d hit you until you’d sort of pass out. They always tried to hit me where it couldn’t be seen, to hide the scars. Because don’t forget I was meant to get married, so I was meant to have skin that isn’t damaged.  

At one point, Reviva’s father orchestrated an Islamic marriage, in absentia, to a man in another country who Reviva had never even met. Reviva repeatedly attempted suicide, a course of action that she says her parents ultimately encouraged:

I was damaging the family honour. I was making the family [look] like a modernised, westernised, filthy family. So what they wanted to do is get rid of what is damaging the honour. They put you in a room on your own, I don’t get any food, or any water, and I have to just sit there and wait to die or kill myself.

To facilitate suicide, her family left a gun, a knife, pills, a can of gasoline, and a box of matches in the room. Reviva ultimately escaped with the help of the LGBT Forced Marriage project.

**Children with Special Needs**

Parents who lack the resources to care for a child with special needs, or who fear that nobody will be left to care for the child when the parents grow old or pass away, sometimes view marriage as an opportunity to ensure the child’s long-term care and support, normalize her life, and reduce the social stigma associated with the disability.

According to Mandy Sanghera, a trustee of VOICE UK, a charity that supports people with “learning disabilities” who have experienced crime or abuse:

Some families see marriage as a way of providing a carer for their child, so feel they have their child’s best interests at heart…. We mustn’t forget… that marriage is a right for people with learning disabilities who have capacity. We have to make sure that people are supported to enjoy that right and not forced into marriage.

**Poverty**

Poverty motivates some families to marry off children that they can no longer afford to support. Unbeknownst to eight-year-old Mannal, when her father ran into financial troubles, he sold her into marriage with a 59-year-old man in return for 30,000 riyals, the equivalent of $8,000. “I was negotiated over like a goat” said Hadizatou, another victim, who was sold for the equivalent of $500 into marriage with a man in his 60s when she was only 12 years old. Her husband raped and beat her, and forced her to perform hard labor. Hadizatou was sentenced to six months in prison for bigamy after she eventually escaped her abuser and married a man of her own choosing.

The “shotgun” wedding persists in every race, faith, and heritage.

A devastating natural disaster or humanitarian crisis can also leave families impoverished and vulnerable, prompting some to sell their children into labor or early marriage in order to ensure all of their children’s survival. Bibi was 15 years old when she died in childbirth after her family sold her into a marriage with a 34-year-old man, and hers is hardly an isolated case. Rates of early marriage and pregnancy surged dramatically in flood-devastated areas of Pakistan, where families who lost everything sold their young daughters into marriages in an effort to feed and shelter both the child brides and the rest of the family. According to Plan UK, disasters and emergencies have precipitated many forced and early marriages:

Food insecurity in Kenya has led to the phenomena of “famine brides,” drought and conflict in Afghanistan have forced farmers to arrange and receive money for the early marriage of their daughters, and girls in Indonesia, India and Sri Lanka have been pressed into marriages with “tsunami widowers,” in many instances doing so to receive state subsidies for marrying and starting a family. Early marriage increased in Indonesia after the 2004 tsunami as families in refugee camps saw it as the only protection for their daughters from rape and in Sri Lanka, where rates of early marriage are normally relatively low, girls have been married to protect them from recruitment into militia.
While such extreme circumstances may strike most Americans as remote, many immigrant families—some of whom came to the United States to escape just such crises—bear staggering burdens of responsibility for extended family members living in developing and conflict-ridden countries. Marrying off a daughter for the bride price, or to enable a loved one to lawfully immigrate to the United States and escape poverty or persecution, presents a viable solution to a pressing problem.

Bride price and dowry traditions can further perpetuate harmful marriage practices by creating an additional financial incentive. The bride is treated as chattel without any right to self-determination.

Religious Doctrine

Many parents cite religious doctrine as a basis for harmful marriage practices. The United Kingdom’s Multi-agency Practice Guidelines characterize such interpretations of religious doctrine as “misguided.” Sanghera says that her mother quoted religious passages to her in order to convince her to accede to an unwanted marriage. “No religion supports honor crimes or forced marriage,” says Sanghera, and “using religion as a defense for such actions is false.”

Although the catalysts of harmful marriage practices around the world may not resonate with mainstream America, Tahirih’s Director of Public Policy, Jeanne Smoot, explains their relevance to US advocacy efforts:

In forced marriage cases arising in immigrant communities, it is striking just how much of the old world is carried to the new. While some of these dynamics and drivers may change when a family immigrates to a new host country, they may simply manifest themselves in different ways... They still inform the family’s understanding and framework for what’s acceptable and even what’s “moral,” right, and in a child’s best interests.

The uncertainty and unease that characterize the US immigrant experience compel many first-generation immigrants to adhere to familiar beliefs and customs in order to assert control over an unfamiliar environment. Only by taking a global view of forced and early marriage customs can we begin to address these practices in our own immigrant communities.

CONSEQUENCES OF FORCE MARRIAGE

The physical and psychological consequences of forced and early marriage can be devastating and enduring. Often, the bride is an adolescent girl and the groom a significantly older man. When a girl marries, she is expected to consummate the union and reproduce. According to the Forum on Marriage and the Rights of Women and Girls, “The young bride’s status in the family is frequently dependent on her demonstrating her fertility—often within the first year of her marriage.” Girls who resist consummating the marriage risk being beaten or raped. Even if she succeeds in annulling the marriage, a young bride who has consummated her marriage will likely be ostracized by her family and regarded as unmarriageable in the community with which she identifies.

The power dynamics that are characteristic of underage marriages leave many child brides without the sway to negotiate for safe sex or control over the number and spacing of their babies. According to the United Nations’ Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA):

Marriage patterns have implications for the status of women, their health and fertility... Entry into marriage usually marks the beginning of exposure to childbearing. Early entry into marriage exposes women to the risks of early childbearing and may also impede improvements in their educational, economic, and social status. Where young females marry older males, large age gaps between spouses could contribute to marginalization of females and low status of women.

Other common consequences of forced marriage include curtailed education, reduced autonomy, servile marriage conditions, domestic violence, sexual assault, and even suicide. Some families resort to violence or even murder to punish girls who are perceived to have shamed themselves and dishonored their families by resisting or fleeing a forced marriage.

In communities where early marriage of girls is commonplace, early sexual activity, pregnancy, and childbirth are also common, resulting in higher
rates of HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections, increased infant and maternal mortality and morbidity, and other reproductive health issues, such as obstetric fistulae. According to the Global Health Council on Adolescent Youth:

The immature reproductive and immune systems of adolescent girls increase their risk for sexually transmitted infections and complications during pregnancy and childbirth. Girls aged 15 years and younger are five-times more likely to die during childbirth than women between the ages of 20 and 24 years old.

The disturbing duality of the husband-as-father power and control dynamic is well illustrated by a recently reported case involving a 13-year-old forced-marriage victim in Washington, DC. The girl’s new husband enrolled her in public school by saying he was her father, and then raped her every night when she came home. Domestic violence, rape, and sexual assault are pervasive in forced and early marriages, and child brides frequently find themselves in servile conditions. Married girls are typically removed from school at an earlier age, diminishing their capacity for independence and perpetuating a cycle of poverty.

Under acute emotional coercion, she married a man her parents chose.

Many of the behavioral indicators of forced marriage resemble indicators of domestic violence: physical and sexual abuse; threatening behavior; isolation and imprisonment; abduction; psychological and social pressure, including emotional blackmail; restrictions on lifestyle such as limitations on movement, association, dress code, education, and career choices; oppressive financial control; and other demeaning, humiliating, and controlling behavior. When 12-year-old Reem protested her father’s plans to force her into marriage with her 30-year-old cousin, her father gagged her and tied her up, threatening to kill her for defying him. Reem tried to commit suicide twice after the wedding. When she resisted consummating the marriage, her husband raped her, choking and biting her, dragging her by the hair, and overwhelming her by force. Some families resort to extreme violence, such as beheading and other methods of “honor” killing to punish women and girls who are perceived to have shamed themselves and dishonored their families by resisting or fleeing forced marriages. Aisha fled her forced marriage to escape beatings and abuse, only to be hunted down and severely mutilated as punishment for running away. Her brother-in-law held her down while her husband used a knife to slice off her ears and nose. When she lost consciousness from the pain, they left her to die. Aisha awoke choking on her own blood.

People with Disabilities

According to the Ann Craft Trust, an organization that works to ensure that service providers supporting people with disabilities are aware of abuse and protection issues, forced marriage can have grave consequences for persons with disabilities:

The expectation that marriage will be consummated and lead to children means that people with learning disabilities who are forced into marriage may be subjected to sexual assault and rape. As many people with learning disabilities do not receive sex education, they may be ill-equipped to handle unwanted sexual advances, not understand sex, be unaware of contraception and not understand that children can be a consequence of sex.

Real or perceived social stigma, or desperation to ensure that a marriage proceeds, drive some parents to hide their child’s disability from the child’s prospective spouse and in-laws. Lack of awareness of the disability can undermine marital consent in some countries. Take, for example, the case of “IC:”

IC, a young man with autism and severe learning disabilities, was married over the phone to a woman living in Bangladesh who did not have learning disabilities. The Court of Appeal ruled that the marriage was not entitled to recognition in UK law, and Lord Justice Thorpe said consummating the marriage would be tantamount to rape or indecent assault.

Deliberate deception about disabilities can fuel deep resentment, sometimes leading to abusive
behavior or abandonment on the part of deceived spouses and in-laws who did not anticipate taking on intensive care-giving responsibilities as the result of the marriage.99

Some victims, feeling utterly isolated and hopeless, resort to suicide to escape forced marriages. Jasvinder Sanghera, founder of Karma Nirvana, a charity that supports victims and survivors of forced marriage and “honor”-based abuse, describes her sister Robina’s tragic escape:

...what happens in these situations, the dis-owned person almost always has a secret link back to the family....I offered to help her – she said, that’s easy for you to say. Her independence was rooted in honour – what the community would say – and she thought that was more important than her own happiness, her own life. I asked her to speak to my mother, and she in turn spoke to our community leader in Derby. This man reinforced the idea of duty. That she had to go back to her husband or it would kill the family – kill their reputation. I begged her not to go back. I was 22, and she was 25 when she went back home. Shortly after that, my sister set herself on fire and committed suicide.100

Perhaps the least acknowledged of all the harms flowing from forced marriage is the human rights violation that occurs whenever an individual is deprived of the inalienable right to choose whether, when, and whom to marry. The inherent deprivation of dignity, equality, and self-determination renders forced marriage an intrinsic human rights violation, regardless of whether the victim suffers physical or psychological harm.101 This catalogue of harmful consequences, combined with a growing international consensus about fundamental human rights and freedoms, has fueled fierce advocacy efforts to end harmful marriage practices worldwide.

A QUESTION OF CONSENT

Your yes is only as good as your ability to say no. —Marai Larasi

The question of consent cuts to the core of forced and early marriage analysis. In order for a marriage to be valid, both parties to the union must give full, free, and informed consent. A variety of factors can undermine the validity of marital consent, particularly when at least one of the parties is a minor.

Social and cultural dynamics can profoundly impact individuals’ decision-making and undermine the validity of consent. For example, a woman or girl whose family hails from a country with social norms and laws that severely marginalize females may feel powerless to assert her dissent to an unwanted marriage.102 Exposure to mainstream Western culture and institutions does not necessarily protect or empower women and girls to stand up to parents and elders who hail from a culture bound by different norms. Similar dynamics impact girls raised in profoundly isolated settings, such as those cultivated by Tony Alamo and Warren Jeffs, where community members are confined to a compound and discouraged from having unsupervised contact with the outside world.

The Western cultural tendency to emphasize individualism and individual rights contrasts starkly with many cultures’ conception of the individual strictly in the context of her membership or role in an extended family or community. A South African woman, Nomagugu Ngobese, aptly articulated this tension between rights and culture: “Human rights are individual rights, which is not the way for us. We live communally.”103 Decision-making can be highly structured and designated to elders, religious leaders, or male heads of family.104 An individual from a communal culture may not be accustomed to or comfortable asserting personal needs, fears, and desires that conflict with those of other group members, particularly in their presence.105

To facilitate suicide, her family left a gun ...

Even in a highly individualistic culture, a child’s inclination to please and defer to her parents can render her consent to marry illusory. Subtle or overt cultural and parent-child dynamics can place an intended spouse of any age or gender in a position that forces her or him to choose between coerced consent and a culturally inappropriate, possibly dangerous, confrontation. An underage bride, who lacks the maturity to comprehend the unmitigated nature and consequences of marrying or her right to withhold consent, understands all too well the nature and gravity of the consequences for refusing her parents’ directive to marry.

The Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) recognizes that “the child, by reason of his
physical and mental immaturity, needs special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection...” 107 The determination of the age at which a minor may lawfully consent to engage in a particular activity (be it alcohol consumption, smoking, operating a motor vehicle, military service, sexual activity, or marriage) typically turns upon an assessment of the minimum level of physical and mental maturity required to ensure that the minor’s participation is appropriate, voluntary, and informed.108 According to Stop Violence Against Women, a project of The Advocates for Human Rights, “[P]hysical development does not conote maturity, particularly when social and mental development is still in progress.”109 The United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) describes the teenage years as a critical developmental stage; adolescents are still “[learning] to marshal their thoughts, measure their impulses and think abstractly.”110 The CRC sets forth that, “Children should be protected from all forms of sexual exploitation including unlawful sexual activity.”111

A minor’s willingness to marry or her parents’ willingness to consent thereto are not, per se, evidence that the minor has achieved sufficient physical and mental maturity or life experience to fully appreciate the legal ramifications and practical consequences of marriage. The presumption that parental consent somehow validates or obviates the minor’s consent to marry is a fallacy. If a minor is of an age at which she is deemed to lack the maturity to independently consent to marry, then she lacks the maturity to marry at all, and her parents’ consent is inconsequential. Adolescent sex that is defined for compelling public policy reasons as nonconsensual per se, based on minors’ intrinsic lack of capacity to give free and informed consent, cannot reasonably be rendered consensual solely by virtue of a marriage ceremony. The US Department of State, in its Foreign Affairs Manual (FAM), makes this critical connection: “The Department considers a forced marriage to be a violation of basic human rights. It also considers the forced marriage of a minor child to be a form of child abuse, since the child will presumably be subjected to non-consensual sex.”112

A Principle of Human Rights

It is a well-established human rights principle that every individual has a fundamental right to choose whether, when, and whom to marry. But, the international community also recognizes a bundle of social and cultural rights that inure to the benefit of groups of individuals, such as an ethnic community or a family unit.113 These groups are entitled to maintain their distinct cultural and religious identities free from state intervention.114 Harmful marriage practices bring groups’ rights into stark conflict with individuals’ rights, forcing the state to intervene into traditionally private-sphere activities.

The bride’s status may be dependent on her fertility.

As legal professionals, government agencies, and civil society organizations begin to coalesce around the compelling shared objective of eliminating forced marriage in the United States, we confront the onerous challenge of striking a delicate balance between respecting groups’ rights to perpetuate their cultural identities and traditions and protecting individual group members from human rights violations perpetrated in the name of faith or tradition. [C]ultural acceptance does not mean this is acceptable,” says Sanghera, “It’s not part of my or anyone’s culture to be abused.115
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